REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Examiner stated, "The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed."

A new title has been added which Applicant's believe is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Acceptance of such new title is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1, 14, 20, 22, and 23 are currently amended.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser [US 6,282,433 B1].

Examiner stated, "Regarding Claim 1, Holshouser teaches a system providing a wireless day planner (see Abstract; Fig. 3)." Currently amended Claim 1 advantageously claims, among other elements, a first device adapted to wirelessly communicate with a second device via at least a first communication device or a second communication device which is neither taught nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser [US 6,282,322 B1] in view of Vook et al. [US 5,636,220].

Examiner stated, "Considering Claim 14, Holshouser teaches a communication device (see Fig. 3), an interface coupled to the communication device (see Figs. 1-3; col. 2, lines 54-55), a processor coupled to the communication device (see col. 2, lines 51-52), and a data entry

Appl. No. 09/838,740
Amdt. dated March 2, 2004
Reply to Office action of January 5, 2004

system coupled to the interface (see Fig. 3; col. 2, line 55)." Currently amended Claim 14 advantageously claims, among other elements, a first device that is physically remote from an interface that is adapted to wirelessly communicate with a second device that is physically remote from the interface via a communication device which is neither taught nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Claim 20 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser [US 6,282,433 B1] in view of Ghirnikar et al. [US 6,216,001 B1].

Examiner stated, "Regarding Claim 20, Holshouser teaches a system providing a wireless day planner (see Abstract; Fig. 3). The cited system includes means for communication (see Fig. 3), means for transmitting a wireless signal (see Abstract)." Currently amended Claim 20 advantageously claims, among other elements, transmitting a wireless communication signal between a first device and a second device that are physically remote from an interface coupled to a communication device which is neither taught nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Claim 22 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser [US 6,282,433 B1] in view of Wang et al. [US 5,786,921].

Examiner stated, "Regarding Claim 22, Holhouser teaches a system providing a wireless day planner (see Abstract; Fig. 3). The cited system includes a wireless device (see "RF module", Fig. 3), an infrared (see "IR module"), a processor (see "APU"; col. 2, lines 48-50) and an interface coupled to the communication device (see Figs. 1-3; col. 2, lines 54-55). In the prior art system, the communication devices, the interface, and the processor are coupled together (see

Fig. 3). Holshouser teaches physically remote devices in wireless communication with the first communication device (see col. 2, lines 66-67; col. 3, lines 8-10). In addition, the second communication device (see Fig. 3, "36") allows communications with "a nearby device" (see col. 3, lines, 4-5)." Currently amended Claim 22 advantageously claims, among other elements, a PDA adapted to wirelessly communicate with an infrared device via an interface which is neither taught nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Claim 23 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holshouser [US 6,282,433 B1].

Examiner stated, "Regarding Claim 23, this constitutes a variation of the wireless day planner portfolio system disclosed in previous claims. The combination of references as exposed in the present Office action, teaches or suggests the limitations corresponding to the system. Accordingly the present claim is rejected under the same rationale." Currently amended Claim 23 advantageously claims, among other elements, a communication device that is physically remote from an interface that is adapted to communicate with a first wireless transceiver such that a PDA and the communication device are enabled to wirelessly communicate with each other in real-time via the interface and a processor which is neither taught nor suggested by the cited prior art.

Per the reasons discussed above, Applicant's believe currently amended independent Claims 1, 14, 20, 22, and 23 are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

Since dependent Claims 2-13, 15-19, and 24-25 respectively depend on currently amended independent Claims 1, 14, and 23, which Applicant's believe are in condition for allowance, Applicant's believe such dependent claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request that they be passed to allowance.

The cited prior art do not make obvious the present invention, either alone or with the other references of record. Applicant's respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if the Examiner believes that such a communication would advance the prosecution of the present patent application.

Respectfully submitted,

Raffi Gostanian, Jr.

Registration No. 42,595

Please send all correspondences regarding this matter to:

Jackson Walker L.L.P.

2435 North Central Expressway, Suite 600

Richardson, Texas 75080

Att: Raffi Gostanian, Jr.

972.744.2940 (Office)

972.744.2909 (Fax)